

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Naren Chaganti, et al.

S.No. 09/634,725	ART UNIT: 2132
FILED: AUGUST 5, 2000	EXAMINER: BENJAMIN LANIER
TITLE: ONLINE PERSONAL LIBRARY	DOCKET NO: PSCO-007

### APPLICANT-INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Tentative Participants: (1) Naren Chaganti (one of the applicants)  
(2) Benjamin Lanier (Examiner)

Proposed date: March 2, 2011	Proposed time: 1:00 PM EDT
Type of Interview Requested: Telephonic	Exhibits to be shown: No

#### Issues to be discussed: Meyer & Glassman

Applicant wishes to discuss priority date of the matter cited from Publication No. 2001/0031066 A1 (Meyer, which is now USP 6,829,368) filed January 24, 2001, is a continuation-in-part application of Ser. No. 09/563,664 which matured into USP 6,505,160 to Levy. Levy was filed on May 2, 2000. Upon comparing the subject matter of both the applications, it is clear that Paragraphs [004] & [005] as well as Paragraphs [0091] through [0118] of Meyer are entitled to the filing date of January 24, 2001, which is after the filing date of this application. Thus Paragraphs [0093] & [0095] cannot be used as references for the instant claims. Levy is directed toward concealed watermarks in media content. Levy does not use the word "library". Meyer redefined the term "meta data" at paragraph [004], which was defined by Levy differently at paragraph [0014]. Meyer also added language stating that the "usage rights" may determine how many times certain "media content" may be played back. See [0102]

Glassman (USP 6,453,305) is directed toward a consumer accessing content using "license scrip" obtained from a vendor or broker. See Abstract. Applicant believes that this is not pertinent to the presently examined claims.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 25, 2011

/Naren Chaganti/ (44,602)  
Naren Chaganti  
713 The Hamptons Lane,  
Town & Country, MO 63017  
(650) 248-7011 phone

One of the Applicants

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.